



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,521	02/27/2004	Josef Chalupper	P04,0054	6889
26574	7590	08/07/2007		
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			EXAMINER MONIKANG, GEORGE C	
			ART UNIT 2615	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/07/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/788,521

Applicant(s)

CHALUPPER ET AL.

Examiner

George C. Monikang

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/788521.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/27/2006, 6/23/2004</u> .                                    | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by  
Niederdrank, WO 02/28143 A2. (This reference is cited in IDS filed 3/27/2006)

Re Claim 1, Niederdrank discloses a method to automatically adjust a second hearing device (*abstract*), comprising: automatically analyzing a first hearing device that produces an analysis result (*col. 4, lines 16-25*); determining setting parameters of the second hearing device based on the analysis result of the first hearing device (*col. 4, lines 16-25*); and adjusting the second hearing device based on the determined setting parameters (*col. 4, lines 16-25*).

Re Claim 2, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the automatic analysis comprises presenting an input sound signal to the first hearing device (*fig. 2; col. 4, lines 26-47*), and testing an associated output sound signal at the first hearing device (*fig. 2; col. 4, lines 26-47*).

Re Claim 3, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the analysis comprises reading the setting parameters from the first hearing device (fig. 2: 14; col. 4, lines 35-47).

Re Claim 4, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the automatic analysis comprises reading out setting parameters and simulating a behavior of the first hearing device with a simulation model (col. 4, lines 26-47).

Re Claim 5, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising making an acoustic measurement of the second hearing device after its adjustment (col. 4, lines 48-58).

Re Claim 6, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising utilizing a dynamic model for the adjusting of the second hearing device in which tuning events of the second hearing device are considered (col. 3, lines 35-50).

Re Claim 7, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising implementing audiological measurements and utilizing the audiological measurements for the adjusting of the second hearing device (col. 2, lines 18-23).

Re Claim 8, Niederdrank discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising, after the adjustment, changing the setting parameters of the second hearing device based on determined setting parameters in a predetermined time span (col. 3, lines 51-57), to predefined setting parameters (col. 4, lines 48-58).

Claim 9 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

Claim 10 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 2.

Claim 11 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 3.

Art Unit: 2615

Claim 12 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 4.

Claim 13 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 5.

Claim 14 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 6.

Claim 15 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 7.

Claim 16 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 8.

### **Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Monikang whose telephone number is 571-270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. alt Fri. Off 7:30am-5:00pm (est).


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George Monikang

7/4/2007

  
VIVIAN CHIN  
SUPERVISING PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2200